

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.ungto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/964,901 09/27/2001 Mark S. Roby 3223 07/14/2003 Chief Patent Counsel EXAMINER United States Surgical MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP 150 Glover Avenue ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Norwalk, CT 06856 1762 DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/964,901	ROBY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer Kolb Michener	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communi		
Period for Reply		·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commedified by the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply. Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>27 <i>January 2002</i></u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
	for allowance except for formal matt	
closed in accordance with the pract Disposition of Claims	lice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.
	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	, ,
11) The proposed drawing correction filed		sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are rec	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (t).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	de a consideration de la constantina	
	documents have been received.	
_	documents have been received in Ap	
	of the priority documents have been a ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). n for a list of the certified copies not r	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lan 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		
ttachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pt) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1	TO-948) 5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Application/Control Number: 09/964,901 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

SUPPLEMENTAL DETAILED ACTION

1. This restriction requirement is supplemental to the one of paper 5 and replaces the previous requirement.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a method of coating a surgical needle with a material of viscosity greater than 10,000 cp and a siliconization material, and effectively polymerizing both, classified in class 427, subclass 372.2.
 - II. Claims 16-21, drawn to a method of coating a surgical needle with two non-covalently linked materials where one material crosslinks and interlocks the other in an interpenetrating network, classified in class 427, subclass 2.28.
 - III. Claims 22-29, drawn to a surgical needle, classified in class 606, subclass 222.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/964,901

Art Unit: 1762

and have different modes of operation, functions, and effects. In Applicant's disclosure, inventions I and II are disclosed as separate and distinct embodiment's of Applicant's invention. Additionally, Invention I requires the use of a siloxane material with a suitable viscosity followed by effective polymerization of said material with a siliconization material. Whereas, Invention II does not require these limitations, but does requires that the two materials *not* be covalently linked and that the second material crosslink, locking the siloxane therein in an interpenetrating network. These inventions thus have different effects, functions, and modes of operation.

- 4. Inventions I and II related to III are related as processes of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the surgical needle can be made from a different process such as dipping into a bath of coating material and then sharpening to a point.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,901 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the Groups is not required for other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The Applicant has claimed different compositions of the coating. Applicant should elect a coating comprising A) aminoalkyl siloxane or B) polydimethylsiloxane. Claims 24 and 28 appear to characterize A) and claims 25 and 29 appear to characterize B).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 23, 24, 26, and 27 appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,901

Art Unit: 1762

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. A telephone call was made to Mark Farber on 57/10/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 09/964,901

Art Unit: 1762

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kolb Michener whose telephone number is 703-

306-5462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and

alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310

for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Yennifer Kolb Michener

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

July 11, 2003

Page 6